

Федеральное государственное автономное образовательное учреждение высшего образования
«Московский физико-технический институт (национальный исследовательский университет)»
Физтех-школа фундаментальной и прикладной физики
Кафедра теоретической астрофизики и квантовой теории поля

Направление подготовки / специальность: 03.04.01 Прикладные математика и физика
(магистратура)

Направленность (профиль) подготовки: Проблемы теоретической физики

Суперсимметричное обобщение конструкции Мацуо-Чередника (Supersymmetric generalization of the Matsuo-Cherednik correspondence)

(магистерская диссертация)

Студент:

Греков Андрей Михайлович

(подпись студента)

Научный руководитель:

Зотов Андрей Владимирович,
д-р физ.-мат. наук

(подпись научного руководителя)

Консультант (при наличии):

(подпись консультанта)

Москва 2019

Supersymmetric generalization of the Matsuo-Cherednik correspondence

June 8, 2019

Abstract

In this thesis the Matsuo-Cherednik like correspondence between the quantum n -body Ruijsenaars-Schneider model and the quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations related to supergroup $GL(N|M)$ was described. The spectrum of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider Hamiltonians is shown to be independent of the fermionic grading for a fixed value of $N+M$, so that $N+M+1$ different qKZ systems of equations lead to the same n -body quantum problem. The obtained results can be viewed as quantization of the previously described quantum-classical correspondence between the classical n -body Ruijsenaars-Schneider model and the supersymmetric $GL(N|M)$ spin chains on n sites.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	SUSY KZ-Calogero correspondence	7
3	SUSY qKZ-Ruijsenaars correspondence: rational case	10
4	SUSY qKZ-Ruijsenaars correspondence: trigonometric case	12
4.0.1	Construction of q-symmetric vectors	13
4.0.2	Calculation of the eigenvalue	13
4.0.3	Construction of q-antisymmetric vectors	15
4.0.4	Symmetry between q-(anti)symmetric vectors	17
5	Conclusion	20
6	Appendix	21

1 Introduction

The *KZ-Calogero* and *qKZ-Ruijsenaars correspondences* are the Matsuo-Cherednik type constructions [13, 11, 19, 20] for solutions of the Calogero-Moser-Sutherland [5] and Ruijsenaars-Schneider [15] quantum problems by means of solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) [9] and quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (qKZ) equations [12] respectively. Consider, for example, the qKZ equations¹ related to the Lie group $GL(K)$:

$$e^{\eta\hbar\partial_{x_i}}|\Phi\rangle = \mathbf{K}_i^{(\hbar)}|\Phi\rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mathbf{K}_i^{(\hbar)} = \mathbf{R}_{i\ i-1}(x_i - x_{i-1} + \eta\hbar) \dots \mathbf{R}_{i\ 1}(x_i - x_1 + \eta\hbar) \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \mathbf{R}_{in}(x_i - x_n) \dots \mathbf{R}_{i\ i+1}(x_i - x_{i+1}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathbf{g} = \text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_K)$ is a diagonal $K \times K$ (twist) matrix, and $\mathbf{g}^{(i)}$ acts by \mathbf{g} multiplication in the i -th tensor component of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{V} = (\mathbb{C}^K)^{\otimes n}$. The quantum R -matrices \mathbf{R}_{ij} are in the fundamental representation of $GL(K)$. They act in the i -th and j -th tensor components of \mathcal{V} and satisfy the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, which guarantees compatibility of equations (1.1). The twist matrix \mathbf{g} is the symmetry of \mathbf{R}_{ij} : $\mathbf{g}^{(i)} \mathbf{g}^{(j)} \mathbf{R}_{ij} = \mathbf{R}_{ij} \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \mathbf{g}^{(j)}$. In the rational case we deal with the Yang's R -matrix [18]:

$$\mathbf{R}_{ij}(x) = \frac{x\mathbf{I} + \eta\mathbf{P}_{ij}}{x + \eta}, \quad (1.3)$$

where \mathbf{I} is identity operator in $\text{End}(\mathcal{V})$, and \mathbf{P}_{ij} is the permutation operator, which interchanges the i -th and j -th tensor components in \mathcal{V} . The operators²

$$\mathbf{M}_a = \sum_{l=1}^n e_{aa}^{(l)} \quad (1.4)$$

¹The quantum R -matrices entering (1.2) are assumed to be unitary: $\mathbf{R}_{ij}(x)\mathbf{R}_{ji}(-x) = \text{id}$.

²The set $\{e_{ab} \mid a, b = 1 \dots K\}$ is the standard basis in $\text{Mat}(K, \mathbb{C})$: $(e_{ab})_{ij} = \delta_{ia}\delta_{jb}$.

commute with $\mathbf{K}_i^{(h)}$ and provide the weight decomposition of the Hilbert space \mathcal{V} into the direct sum

$$\mathcal{V} = V^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{M_1, \dots, M_K} \mathcal{V}(\{M_a\}) \quad (1.5)$$

of eigenspaces of operators \mathbf{M}_a with the eigenvalues $M_a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $a = 1, \dots, K$: $M_1 + \dots + M_K = n$. Using the standard basis $\{e_a\}$ in \mathbb{C}^K introduce the basis vectors in $\mathcal{V}(\{M_a\})$ as the vectors

$$|J\rangle = e_{j_1} \otimes e_{j_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_n}, \quad (1.6)$$

where the number of indices j_k such that $j_k = a$ is equal to M_a for all $a = 1, \dots, K$. The dual vectors $\langle J|$ are defined in a way that $\langle J|J'\rangle = \delta_{J,J'}$.

Then the statement of the qKZ-Ruijsenaars correspondence is as follows [20]. For any solution of the qKZ equations (1.1) $|\Phi\rangle = \sum_J \Phi_J |J\rangle$ from the weight subspace $\mathcal{V}(\{M_a\})$ the function

$$\Psi = \sum_J \Phi_J, \quad \Phi_J = \Phi_J(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (1.7)$$

or

$$\Psi = \langle \Omega | \Phi \rangle, \quad \langle \Omega | = \sum_{J: |J| > \in \mathcal{V}(\{M_a\})} \langle J | \quad (1.8)$$

with the property

$$\langle \Omega | \mathbf{P}_{ij} = \langle \Omega | \quad (1.9)$$

is an eigenfunction of the Macdonald operator:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{x_i - x_j + \eta}{x_i - x_j} \Psi(x_1, \dots, x_i + \eta \hbar, \dots, x_n) = E \Psi(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad E = \sum_{a=1}^K M_a g_a. \quad (1.10)$$

The eigenvalues of the higher rational Macdonald-Ruijsenaars Hamiltonians

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, |I|=d} \left(\prod_{s \in I, r \notin I} \frac{x_s - x_r + \eta}{x_s - x_r} \right) \prod_{i \in I} e^{\eta \hbar \partial_{x_i}} \quad (1.11)$$

are given by the elementary symmetric polynomial of n variables $e_d(\underbrace{g_1, \dots, g_1}_{M_1}, \dots, \underbrace{g_N, \dots, g_N}_{M_K})$.

QC-duality. Using the asymptotics of solutions to the (q)KZ equations [16] it was also argued in [19, 20] that the qKZ-Ruijsenaars correspondence can be viewed as a quantization of the quantum-classical duality [2, 8, 3] (see also [14, 6]), which relates the generalized inhomogeneous quantum spin chains and the classical Ruijsenaars-Schneider model. Consider the classical K -body Ruijsenaars-Schneider model, where the positions of particles $\{x_i\}$ are identified with the inhomogeneous parameters of the spin chain which is described by its transfer-matrix

$$\mathbf{T}(x) = \text{tr}_0 \left(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{0n}(x - x_n) \dots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{02}(x - x_2) \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{01}(x - x_1) (\mathbf{g} \otimes \mathbf{I}) \right) \quad (1.12)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(x) = \frac{x + \eta}{x} \mathbf{R}(x) = \mathbf{I} + \frac{\eta}{x} \mathbf{P}. \quad (1.13)$$

The quantum spin chain Hamiltonians are defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \operatorname{Res}_{x=x_i} \mathbf{T}(x) = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{i,i-1}(x_i - x_{i-1}) \dots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{i,1}(x_i - x_1) \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{in}(x_i - x_n) \dots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{i,i+1}(x_i - x_{i+1}). \quad (1.14)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \mathbf{K}_i^{(0)} \prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{x_i - x_j + \eta}{x_i - x_j}, \quad \mathbf{K}_i^{(0)} = \mathbf{K}_i^{(\hbar)} \Big|_{\hbar=0}. \quad (1.15)$$

Identify also the generalized velocities $\{\dot{x}_i\}$ with the eigenvalues of (1.14). Then the action variables $\{I_i | i = 1, \dots, K\}$ of the classical model are given by the values of g_1, \dots, g_K with multiplicities M_1, \dots, M_K :

$$\{I_i | i = 1, \dots, K\} = \left\{ \underbrace{g_1, \dots, g_1}_{M_1}, \dots, \underbrace{g_N, \dots, g_K}_{M_K} \right\}. \quad (1.16)$$

See details in [8], where this statement was proved using the algebraic Bethe ansatz technique.

QC-correspondence. On the other hand the quantum-classical duality possesses a generalization to the so-called quantum-classical correspondence [17], where the classical Ruijsenaars-Schneider model is related not to a single spin chain but to the set of $K + 1$ supersymmetric spin chains [10] with supergroups

$$\mathrm{GL}(K|0), \mathrm{GL}(K-1|1), \quad \dots \quad, \mathrm{GL}(1|K-1), \mathrm{GL}(0|K). \quad (1.17)$$

More precisely, it was shown in [17] that the previous statement (1.16) is valid for all supersymmetric chains with groups (1.17).

The aim of the thesis is to quantize the (supersymmetric) quantum-classical correspondence, that is to establish supersymmetric version of the qKZ-Ruijsenaars correspondence for the qKZ equations related to the supergroups $\mathrm{GL}(N|M)$. We construct generalizations of the vector $\langle \Omega |$ (1.8) and show that the quantum K -body Ruijsenaars-Schneider model follows from all $K + 1$ qKZ systems of equations related to supergroups $\mathrm{GL}(N|M)$ with $N + M = K$ (1.17). The skew-symmetric vectors $\langle \Omega_- |$ with the property $\langle \Omega_- | \mathbf{P}_{ij} = -\langle \Omega_- |$ (instead of symmetric vector (1.9)) are described as well. They lead to the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model with different sign of the coupling constant η and \hbar .

The thesis is organized as follows. For simplicity we start with the rational KZ-Calogero correspondence. Then we proceed to the rational and trigonometric qKZ-Ruijsenaars relations. Most of notations are borrowed from [19, 20, 17]. We briefly describe the notations and definitions related to the graded Lie algebras (groups) in the Appendix. The results of this Master's thesis are published in the article [1].

2 SUSY KZ-Calogero correspondence

The rational Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations [9] have the form

$$\hbar \partial_{x_i} |\Phi\rangle = \left(\mathbf{g}^{(i)} + \kappa \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\mathbf{P}_{ij}}{x_i - x_j} \right) |\Phi\rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where $|\Phi\rangle = |\Phi\rangle(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to the tensor product $\mathcal{V} = V \otimes V \otimes \dots \otimes V = V^{\otimes n}$ of the vector spaces $V = \mathbb{C}^{N|M}$, \mathbf{P}_{ij} is the (graded) permutation operator (A.7) of the i -th and j -th tensor components, $\mathbf{g} = \text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_{N+M})$ is a diagonal $(N+M) \times (N+M)$ matrix and $\mathbf{g}^{(i)}$ is the operator in \mathcal{V} acting as \mathbf{g} on the i -th component (and identically on the rest of the components). The operators

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \mathbf{g}^{(i)} + \kappa \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\mathbf{P}_{ij}}{x_i - x_j} \quad (2.2)$$

form the commutative set of Gaudin Hamiltonians [7]. Similarly to non-supersymmetric case they also commute with the operators:

$$\mathbf{M}_a = \sum_{l=1}^n \mathbf{e}_{aa}^{(l)}, \quad (2.3)$$

where \mathbf{e}_{ab} are basis elements of $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N|M})$ (A.2)-(A.4). In what follows we restrict ourselves to the subspace $\mathcal{V}(\{M_a\})$ corresponding to a component of decomposition (1.5) with the fixed set of eigenvalues M_a for the operators \mathbf{M}_a . We choose a basis in $\mathcal{V}(\{M_a\})$:

$$|J\rangle = \mathbf{e}_{a_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{a_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{a_n} = |a_1 \dots a_n\rangle,$$

where the number of indices a_k such that $a_k = a$ is equal to M_a for all $a = 1, \dots, N+M$. A general solution acquires the following form:

$$|\Phi\rangle = \sum_J \Phi_J |J\rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

where the coefficients Φ_J are functions of all parameters entering (2.1).

To proceed further we need to find a vector

$$\langle \Omega | = \sum_J \langle J | \Omega_J \quad (2.5)$$

similar to (1.8) with the property

$$\langle \Omega | \mathbf{P}_{ij} = \langle \Omega |, \quad (2.6)$$

where in contrast to (1.9) the permutation operator \mathbf{P}_{ij} acts in the graded space (A.7). Having such a vector and taking into account the identities (A.12) and (A.13) we can repeat all the calculations from [19] without any changes. They lead to the eigenvalue equation for the second Calogero-Moser Hamiltonian:

$$\left(\hbar^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i}^2 - \sum_{i \neq j}^n \frac{\kappa(\kappa - \hbar)}{(x_i - x_j)^2} \right) \Psi = E \Psi, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\Psi = \langle \Omega | \Phi \rangle = \sum_J \Omega_J \Phi_J \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$E = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} M_a g_a^2. \quad (2.9)$$

Let us construct the vector $\langle \Omega |$. Due to (A.9) the basis vector $\langle J |$ entering $\langle \Omega |$ can not contain two identical fermions (e_a with $\mathbf{p}(a) = 1$). Otherwise, we get a contradiction with (2.6). Keeping this in mind choose a vector $|J\rangle$ with $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ from $\mathcal{V}(\{M_a\})$, and fix the coefficient $\Omega_{a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n} = 1$ for this set. Next, generate the rest of vectors $|J\rangle$ by the rule that the permutation of two nearby indices multiplies the coefficient by the standard parity factor:

$$\Omega_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m+1} a_m \dots a_n} = (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(a_m)\mathbf{p}(a_{m+1})} \Omega_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_m a_{m+1} \dots a_n} \quad (2.10)$$

By repeating this procedure and summing up all the resultant vectors $|J\rangle$ (in the orbit of the action of permutation operators with the corresponding coefficients Ω_J) we get the final answer for $|\Omega\rangle$. Here are some examples.

Example 2.1 Let $N + M = 2$, $n = 3$, $M_1 = 2$, $M_2 = 1$. Then at least one of the states (among \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2) is bosonic. Therefore,

$$|\Omega\rangle = |112\rangle + |121\rangle + |211\rangle. \quad (2.11)$$

Example 2.2 Let $N + M = 3$, $n = 3$, $M_1 = M_2 = M_3 = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega\rangle = & |123\rangle + (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(1)\mathbf{p}(2)} |213\rangle + (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(2)\mathbf{p}(3)} |132\rangle + \\ & + (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(1)\mathbf{p}(3)+\mathbf{p}(2)\mathbf{p}(3)} |312\rangle + (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(1)\mathbf{p}(2)+\mathbf{p}(1)\mathbf{p}(3)} |231\rangle + \\ & + (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(1)\mathbf{p}(2)+\mathbf{p}(2)\mathbf{p}(3)+\mathbf{p}(1)\mathbf{p}(3)} |321\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Example 2.3 Let $N + M = 3$, $n = 4$, $M_1 = 2$, $M_2 = M_3 = 1$, $\mathbf{p}(1) = 0$, $\mathbf{p}(2) = \mathbf{p}(3) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega\rangle = & |1123\rangle + |1213\rangle + |2113\rangle + |1231\rangle + \\ & + |2311\rangle + |2131\rangle + |2113\rangle - (2 \leftrightarrow 3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Notice that in the case when all $\mathbf{p}(a) = 0$ we return back to the non-supersymmetric case: $\Omega_J = 1$ for all J . On the other hand when all $\mathbf{p}(a) = 1$ we get completely antisymmetric tensor $\Omega_{a_1 \dots a_n} = \epsilon_{a_1 \dots a_n}$. Thus different choices of \mathfrak{B} (A.1) provide different eigenfunctions (2.8). At the same time the eigenvalues are the same (2.9), so that we get a degeneracy of the spectrum for the Hamiltonian (2.7).

It is also worth noting that in order to change the sign of κ in the Hamiltonian (2.7) we need to construct vector $|\Omega_-\rangle$, which is antisymmetric under the action of permutations:

$$\langle \Omega_- | \mathbf{P}_{ij} = -\langle \Omega_- |, \quad (2.14)$$

where the sign is opposite to the one in (2.6). Such a vector can not contain two identical bosons because the permutation of them contradicts assumption (2.14). In other situations it can be build. The example is given below.

Example 2.4 *Let $N + M = 3$, $n = 3$, $M_1 = M_2 = M_3 = 1$ as in (2.12) and $\mathfrak{p}(1) = \mathfrak{p}(2) = \mathfrak{p}(3) = 1$. Then*

$$|\Omega_-\rangle = |123\rangle + |213\rangle + |132\rangle + |312\rangle + |231\rangle + |321\rangle. \quad (2.15)$$

3 SUSY qKZ-Ruijsenaars correspondence: rational case

In this Section we generalize the correspondence between KZ-equations and Calogero-Moser system to the case of qKZ-equations with structural supergroup and the Ruijsenaars-Schneider system. The qKZ-equations have the form:

$$e^{\eta\hbar\partial_{x_i}}|\Phi\rangle = \mathbf{K}_i^{(\hbar)}|\Phi\rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.1)$$

where the operator in the r.h.s

$$\mathbf{K}_i^{(\hbar)} = \mathbf{R}_{i,i-1}(x_i - x_{i-1} + \eta\hbar) \dots \mathbf{R}_{i1}(x_i - x_1 + \eta\hbar) \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \mathbf{R}_{in}(x_i - x_n) \dots \mathbf{R}_{i,i+1}(x_i - x_{i+1}) \quad (3.2)$$

are constructed by means of the quantum R -matrix \mathbf{R} , which is a (unitary) solution of the graded Yang-Baxter equation. We start with the rational one

$$\mathbf{R}_{ij}(x) = \frac{x\mathbf{I} + \eta\mathbf{P}_{ij}}{x + \eta}, \quad (3.3)$$

where \mathbf{P}_{ij} is a graded permutation operator (A.7). Similarly to the non-supersymmetric case introduce the rescaled R -matrix:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(x) = \frac{x + \eta}{x} \mathbf{R}(x) = \mathbf{I} + \frac{\eta}{x} \mathbf{P}. \quad (3.4)$$

The transfer-matrix of the corresponding supersymmetric spin-chain:

$$\mathbf{T}(x) = \text{str}_0 \left(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{0n}(x - x_n) \dots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{02}(x - x_2) \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{01}(x - x_1) (\mathbf{g} \otimes \mathbf{I}) \right) \quad (3.5)$$

provides non-local Hamiltonians – its residues:

$$\mathbf{T}(x) = \text{str} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{I} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\eta \mathbf{H}_j}{x - x_j} \quad (3.6)$$

or

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{i,i-1}(x_i - x_{i-1}) \dots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{i1}(x_i - x_1) \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{in}(x_i - x_n) \dots \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{i,i+1}(x_i - x_{i+1}). \quad (3.7)$$

Alternatively,

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \mathbf{K}_i^{(0)} \prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{x_i - x_j + \eta}{x_i - x_j}. \quad (3.8)$$

From comparison of expansions of the transfer-matrix at $x \rightarrow \infty$ in the forms (3.5) and (3.6)

$$\text{str} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{I} + \frac{\eta}{x} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{str}_0 \left(\mathbf{P}_{0i} \mathbf{g}^{(0)} \right) + \dots = \text{str} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{I} + \frac{\eta}{x} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{H}_i + \dots \quad (3.9)$$

we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{H}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}^{(i)} = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a \mathbf{M}_a, \quad (3.10)$$

where the property (A.13) was used. To obtain the correspondence we project the qKZ-equations on the vector $|\Omega\rangle$ (2.6), constructed in the previous Section:

$$e^{\eta\hbar\partial_{x_i}} \langle \Omega | \Phi \rangle = e^{\eta\hbar\partial_{x_i}} \Psi = \langle \Omega | \mathbf{K}_i^{(\hbar)} | \Phi \rangle = \langle \Omega | \mathbf{K}_i^{(0)} | \Phi \rangle. \quad (3.11)$$

and repeat all evaluations from [20]. This yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{x_i - x_j + \eta}{x_i - x_j} \right) e^{\eta \hbar \partial_{x_i}} \Psi &= \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{x_i - x_j + \eta}{x_i - x_j} \langle \Omega | \mathbf{K}_i^{(0)} | \Phi \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \Omega | \mathbf{H}_i | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \Omega | \mathbf{g}^{(i)} | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a \langle \Omega | \mathbf{M}_a | \Phi \rangle = \left(\sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a M_a \right) \Psi, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi = \langle \Omega | \Phi \rangle \quad (3.12)$$

is the eigenfunction and

$$E = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a M_a \quad (3.13)$$

is the eigenvalue.

Remark 3.1 *To obtain the Macdonald-Ruijsenaars Hamiltonian with the opposite sign of the coupling constant η and \hbar one should start with the R-matrix*

$$\mathbf{R}_{ij}(x) = \frac{x \mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{P}_{ij}}{x - \eta} \quad (3.14)$$

in (3.1) instead of (3.3). The R-matrix (3.14) is still unitary and acts identically on the anti-symmetric vector $|\Omega_- \rangle$ (2.14) which is to be used instead of $|\Omega \rangle$.

Higher Hamiltonians

Following construction in the non-supersymmetric case it can be shown that the wave function $\Psi = \langle \Omega | \Phi \rangle$ satisfies the equations

$$\prod_{s=1}^d e^{\eta \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_s}}} \Psi = \langle \Omega | \mathbf{K}_{i_1}^{(0)} \dots \mathbf{K}_{i_d}^{(0)} | \Phi \rangle \quad \text{for } i_k \neq i_m. \quad (3.15)$$

The proof of this statement is the same as in [20]. One more point needed for the correspondence is the determinant identity:

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left(z \delta_{ij} - \frac{\eta \mathbf{H}_i}{x_j - x_i + \eta} \right) = \prod_{a=1}^N (z - g_a)^{M_a}. \quad (3.16)$$

It was proven for the supersymmetric case in [17]. Therefore, the correspondence works in the supersymmetric case as well. Namely, given a solution $|\Phi \rangle$ of the qKZ equations the wave function of the rational Ruijsenaars-Schneider quantum problem is given by (3.12). The eigenvalues are the same symmetric polynomials as in the non-supersymmetric case (1.11).

4 SUSY qKZ-Ruijsenaars correspondence: trigonometric case

Trigonometric solution of the graded Yang-Baxter equation has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{12}(x) = & \frac{1}{2 \sinh(x + \eta)} \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} \left(e^{x+\eta} q^{-2\mathfrak{p}(a)} - e^{-x-\eta} q^{2\mathfrak{p}(a)} \right) e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa} + \frac{\sinh x}{\sinh(x + \eta)} \sum_{a \neq b}^{N+M} e_{aa} \otimes e_{bb} + \\ & + \frac{\sinh \eta}{\sinh(x + \eta)} \sum_{a < b}^{N+M} \left(e^x (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(b)} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} + e^{-x} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} e_{ba} \otimes e_{ab} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $q = e^\eta$. It is rewritten as follows:

$$\mathbf{R}_{12}(x) = \mathbf{P}_{12} + \frac{\sinh x}{\sinh(x + \eta)} \left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{12}^q \right) + \mathbf{G}_{12}^+, \quad (4.2)$$

where \mathbf{P}_{12} is the graded permutation operator (A.7), \mathbf{P}_{12}^q – its deformation (quantum permutation operator)

$$\mathbf{P}_{12}^q = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa} + q \sum_{a > b}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(b)} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} + q^{-1} \sum_{a < b}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(b)} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{12}^+ &= \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} \left(\frac{\sinh(x + \eta - 2\eta\mathfrak{p}(a))}{\sinh(x + \eta)} - (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} + \frac{\sinh(x)}{\sinh(x + \eta)} ((-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} - 1) \right) e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa} = \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{F}} \frac{2(\cosh \eta - 1) \sinh(x)}{\sinh(x + \eta)} e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

or

$$\mathbf{G}_{12}^+ = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} \mathbf{G}_a^+ e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa}, \quad \mathbf{G}_a^+ = \frac{(1 - (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)})(\cosh \eta - 1) \sinh(x)}{\sinh(x + \eta)}. \quad (4.5)$$

The R -matrix entering the transfer-matrix differs from (4.1) by a scalar factor:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{12}(x) = \frac{\sinh(x + \eta)}{\sinh x} \mathbf{R}_{12}(x), \quad (4.6)$$

and the transfer-matrix itself is defined similarly to (3.5). The Hamiltonians appear through the expansion

$$\mathbf{T}(x) = \mathbf{C} + \sinh \eta \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{H}_k \coth(x - x_k). \quad (4.7)$$

They are related to the operators in the r.h.s of the qKZ-equations by the same formulae as in non-supersymmetric case:

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \mathbf{K}_i^{(0)} \prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\sinh(x_i - x_j + \eta)}{\sinh(x_i - x_j)}. \quad (4.8)$$

4.0.1 Construction of q-symmetric vectors

Our strategy is as follows. Following the non-supersymmetric construction [20] we now need to find a vector $\langle \Omega_q |$ with the property

$$\langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{R}_{i i-1}(x) = \langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{P}_{i i-1}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n. \quad (4.9)$$

Let us show that this vector has the form:

$$\langle \Omega_q | = \sum_J q^{\ell(J)} \Omega_J \langle J |, \quad (4.10)$$

where Ω_J are the same as in rational case (2.7), (2.10), while $\ell(J)$ is defined to be the minimal number of elementary permutations required to get the multi-index $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n)$ starting from the “minimal” one. The “minimal” order implies that the j_k 's are ordered as $1 \leq j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_n \leq N$ (see [20]). The proof is straightforward. First, by the construction we see that

$$\langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{P}_{i, i-1}^q = \langle \Omega_q |. \quad (4.11)$$

In contrast to the non-supersymmetric case we have additional terms $\mathbf{G}_{i, i-1}^+$ in R -matrices (4.2). However, they do not provide any input when acting on $\langle \Omega_q |$:

$$\langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{G}_{i, i-1}^+ = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

It happens because of the tensor structure (4.4). Indeed,

$$\mathbf{G}_{i, i-1}^+ |J\rangle = \mathbf{G}_{a_i}^+ \delta_{a_i, a_{i-1}} |J\rangle, \quad (4.13)$$

so that only the same basis vectors e_{a_i} entering $|J\rangle$ may contribute. But we have already assumed that our vector $\langle \Omega_q |$ does not contain two identical fermions, and for bosons $\mathbf{G}_a^+ = 0$. Finally, from (4.2) we come to (4.9).

Example 4.1 *Let $N + M = 3$, $n = 3$, $M_1 = M_2 = M_3 = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega_q | = & |123\rangle + q(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(1)\mathfrak{p}(2)} |213\rangle + q(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(2)\mathfrak{p}(3)} |132\rangle + \\ & + q^2(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(1)\mathfrak{p}(3)+\mathfrak{p}(2)\mathfrak{p}(3)} |312\rangle + q^2(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(1)\mathfrak{p}(2)+\mathfrak{p}(1)\mathfrak{p}(3)} |231\rangle + \\ & + q^3(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(1)\mathfrak{p}(2)+\mathfrak{p}(2)\mathfrak{p}(3)+\mathfrak{p}(1)\mathfrak{p}(3)} |321\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

4.0.2 Calculation of the eigenvalue

Coming back to the proof of the correspondence we need the identity

$$\langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{K}_i^{(h)} = \langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{K}_i^{(0)} = \langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{P}_{i i-1} \dots \mathbf{P}_{i1}, \quad (4.15)$$

which follows from $\mathbf{P}_{i i-1} \mathbf{P}_{i i-2}^q = \mathbf{P}_{i-1 i-2}^q \mathbf{P}_{i i-1}$ and an analogue of the identity

$$\mathbf{T}(\pm\infty) = \mathbf{C} \pm \sinh \eta \sum_k \mathbf{H}_k = \sum_{a=1}^N g_a e^{\pm \eta \mathbf{M}_a}$$

for the supersymmetric case. It is as follows.

Proposition 4.1

$$\mathbf{T}(\infty) = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{B}} g_a e^{\eta \mathbf{M}_a} - \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{F}} g_a e^{-\eta \mathbf{M}_a} \quad (4.16)$$

$$\mathbf{T}(-\infty) = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{B}} g_a e^{-\eta \mathbf{M}_a} - \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{F}} g_a e^{\eta \mathbf{M}_a}$$

Proof: We will prove the first one and the second is analogous. Let us first find the asymptotics of the R -matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}(\infty) &= \mathbf{I} + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{a < b}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(b)} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} + (q - 1) \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa} + \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} \left(q^{1-2\mathfrak{p}(a)} - (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} q + ((-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} - 1) \right) e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

This expression can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(\infty) = \mathbf{I} + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{a < b}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(b)} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} + \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} \left(q^{1-2\mathfrak{p}(a)} - 1 \right) e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa}. \quad (4.18)$$

The off-diagonal part does not contribute to the trace in (3.5). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}(\infty) &= \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} g_a \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + (q^{1-2\mathfrak{p}(a)} - 1) e_{aa}^{(j)} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} g_a \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \sum_{N_j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{N_j} (1 - 2\mathfrak{p}(a))^{N_j}}{N_j!} e_{aa}^{(j)} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} g_a \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{N_j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{N_j} (1 - 2\mathfrak{p}(a))^{N_j}}{N_j!} (e_{aa}^{(j)})^{N_j} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

and, finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}(\infty) &= \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} g_a \prod_{j=1}^n \left(e^{\eta(1-2\mathfrak{p}(a)) e_{aa}^{(j)}} \right) = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} g_a \left(e^{\eta(1-2\mathfrak{p}(a)) \sum_{j=1}^n e_{aa}^{(j)}} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)} g_a \left(e^{\eta(1-2\mathfrak{p}(a)) \mathbf{M}_a} \right) = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{B}} g_a e^{\eta \mathbf{M}_a} - \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{F}} g_a e^{-\eta \mathbf{M}_a}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Notice that although this formula depend on the choice of \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{F} the eigenvalue of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider Hamiltonian is independent of it:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\sinh(x_i - x_j + \eta)}{\sinh(x_i - x_j)} \right) e^{\eta h \partial_{x_i}} \Psi &= \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\sinh(x_i - x_j + \eta)}{\sinh(x_i - x_j)} \langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{K}_i^{(0)} | \Phi \rangle = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{H}_i | \Phi \rangle = \langle \Omega_q | \frac{\mathbf{T}(\infty) - \mathbf{T}(-\infty)}{2 \sinh \eta} | \Phi \rangle = \\
&= \langle \Omega_q | \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{B}} g_a \frac{\sinh(\eta \mathbf{M}_a)}{\sinh \eta} + \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{F}} g_a \frac{\sinh(\eta \mathbf{M}_a)}{\sinh \eta} | \Phi \rangle = \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a \langle \Omega_q | \frac{\sinh(\eta \mathbf{M}_a)}{\sinh \eta} | \Phi \rangle = \left(\sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a \frac{\sinh(\eta M_a)}{\sinh \eta} \right) \Psi.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Therefore,

$$\Psi = \langle \Omega_q | \Phi \rangle \tag{4.22}$$

is indeed the eigenfunction of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider Hamiltonian with the eigenvalue

$$E = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a \frac{\sinh(\eta M_a)}{\sinh \eta}. \tag{4.23}$$

4.0.3 Construction of q-antisymmetric vectors

In order to extend the correspondence to the case of the Hamiltonian with the opposite sign of η we should start with a different R -matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}(x) &= \\
&\frac{1}{2 \sinh(x - \eta)} \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (e^{x+\eta} q^{-2p(a)} - e^{-x-\eta} q^{2p(a)}) e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa} + \frac{\sinh x}{\sinh(x - \eta)} \sum_{a \neq b}^{N+M} e_{aa} \otimes e_{bb} + \\
&+ \frac{\sinh \eta}{\sinh(x - \eta)} \sum_{a < b}^{N+M} \left(e^x (-1)^{p(b)} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} + e^{-x} (-1)^{p(a)} e_{ba} \otimes e_{ab} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

It is similar to (3.14) in the rational case. Expression (4.24) can be rewritten in the form:

$$\mathbf{R}_{12}(x) = -\mathbf{P}_{12} + \frac{\sinh x}{\sinh(x - \eta)} \left(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{P}_{12}^q \right) + \mathbf{G}_{12}^-, \tag{4.25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{G}_{12}^- = \\
& = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} \left(\frac{\sinh(x + \eta - 2\eta\mathbf{p}(a))}{\sinh(x - \eta)} + (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(a)} - \frac{\sinh(x)}{\sinh(x - \eta)} ((-1)^{\mathbf{p}(a)} + 1) \right) e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa} = \\
& = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{B}} \frac{2(\cosh \eta - 1) \sinh(x)}{\sinh(x - \eta)} e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa} = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} \mathbf{G}_a^- e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Similarly to the case of symmetric vector (and also similarly to (2.14)) it is easy to see that the vector $\langle \Omega_q |$ with the property

$$\langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{P}_{i,i-1}^q = -\langle \Omega_q | \tag{4.27}$$

can not contain two same bosons. On the other hand \mathbf{G}_{12}^- acts by zero on the pair of the same fermions. Thus

$$\langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{R}_{i,i-1} = -\langle \Omega_q | \mathbf{P}_{i,i-1}. \tag{4.28}$$

Repeating the steps from the previous paragraphs we obtain the following expressions for the asymptotics of the R -matrix at infinity:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(\infty) &= \mathbf{I} + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{a>b}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(b)} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} + \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (q^{1-2\mathbf{p}(a)} - 1) e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa}, \\
\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(-\infty) &= \mathbf{I} + (q^{-1} - q) \sum_{a<b}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(b)} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} + \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (q^{-1+2\mathbf{p}(a)} - 1) e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(x) = \frac{\sinh(x - \eta)}{\sinh x} \mathbf{R}(x). \tag{4.30}$$

It is easy to see that these asymptotics differ from the corresponding asymptotics in the q -symmetric case by non-diagonal part only, but the latter does not contribute to the trace in the transfer-matrix. Therefore, the Hamiltonian with the opposite sign of η has the same eigenvalue:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\sinh(x_i - x_j - \eta)}{\sinh(x_i - x_j)} \right) e^{\eta h \partial_{x_i}} \Psi = \left(\sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a \frac{\sinh(\eta M_a)}{\sinh \eta} \right) \Psi. \tag{4.31}$$

4.0.4 Symmetry between q-(anti)symmetric vectors

In this paragraph we will show that the usage of q-antisymmetric vectors do not actually lead to any new wave functions of the RS-system. For this paragraph let us introduce more refined notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta) &= \frac{1}{2 \sinh x} \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (e^{x+\eta} q^{-2\mathbf{p}(a)} - e^{-x-\eta} q^{2\mathbf{p}(a)}) e_{aa} \otimes e_{aa} + \sum_{a \neq b}^{N+M} e_{aa} \otimes e_{bb} + \\ &+ \frac{\sinh \eta}{\sinh x} \sum_{a < b}^{N+M} (e^x (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(b)} e_{ab} \otimes e_{ba} + e^{-x} (-1)^{\mathbf{p}(a)} e_{ba} \otimes e_{ab}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{R}_{\pm}^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta) = \frac{\sinh x}{\sinh(x \pm \eta)} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta), \quad (4.32)$$

where index \mathbf{p} stands for the fixed choice of grading.

Let us introduce the operator Q of the grading change:

$$\mathbf{p}(Qe_a) = \mathbf{p}(e_a) + 1 \quad (4.33)$$

This operator simply changes all basis vectors e_a , which were boson into fermions and vice versa. It is easy to see from this definition that the R -matrix has a symmetry

$$Q \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta) Q^{-1} = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x|-\eta). \quad (4.34)$$

Therefore,

$$Q \mathbf{R}_{-}^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta) Q^{-1} = \mathbf{R}_{+}^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x|-\eta). \quad (4.35)$$

For the special vectors (on which we project solution) we also reserve the following notations:

$$\left\langle \Omega_{q+}^{\mathbf{p}} \left| \mathbf{P}_{i,i-1}^{q,\mathbf{p}} = \left\langle \Omega_{q+}^{\mathbf{p}} \right| \quad (4.36)$$

$$\left\langle \Omega_{q-}^{\mathbf{p}} \left| \mathbf{P}_{i,i-1}^{q,\mathbf{p}} = - \left\langle \Omega_{q-}^{\mathbf{p}} \right| \quad (4.37)$$

By changing all bosons to fermions in these equations and vice versa, and taking into account that

$$Q \mathbf{P}_{i,i-1}^{q,\mathbf{p}} Q^{-1} = - \mathbf{P}_{i,i-1}^{q,\mathbf{p}+1} \quad (4.38)$$

we get

$$\left\langle \Omega_{q+}^{\mathbf{p}} \left| Q = \left\langle \Omega_{q-}^{\mathbf{p}+1} \right|. \quad (4.39)$$

As a first step towards the explanation of the origin of the wavefunctions for Hamiltonians with signs of η and \hbar changed we will prove the following

Proposition 4.2 *For any solution $|\Phi_{-}^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta, \hbar)\rangle$ of the q KZ-equations, with the R -matrix $\mathbf{R}_{-}^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta)$, suitable for projecting on the q -antisymmetric vector $\langle \Omega_{q-}^{\mathbf{p}} |$, we can construct the solution $|\Phi_{+}^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x|\eta, \hbar)\rangle$ of the q KZ-equations, with the R -matrix $\mathbf{R}_{+}^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x|\eta)$, suitable for projecting on the q -symmetric vector $\langle \Omega_{q+}^{\mathbf{p}+1} |$.*

Proof: Consider the qKZ-equations:

$$e^{\eta\hbar\partial_{x_i}} \left| \Phi_-^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta, \hbar) \right\rangle = \mathbf{R}_{-,i,i-1}^{\mathbf{p}}(x_i - x_{i-1} + \eta\hbar|\eta) \dots \mathbf{R}_{-,i,1}^{\mathbf{p}}(x_i - x_1 + \eta\hbar|\eta) \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \times \\ \times \mathbf{R}_{-,in}^{\mathbf{p}}(x_i - x_n|\eta) \dots \mathbf{R}_{-,i,i+1}^{\mathbf{p}}(x_i - x_{i+1}|\eta) \left| \Phi_-^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta, \hbar) \right\rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Changing signs of η and \hbar yields

$$e^{\eta\hbar\partial_{x_i}} \left| \Phi_-^{\mathbf{p}}(x| - \eta, -\hbar) \right\rangle = \mathbf{R}_{-,i,i-1}^{\mathbf{p}}(x_i - x_{i-1} + \eta\hbar| - \eta) \dots \mathbf{R}_{-,i,1}^{\mathbf{p}}(x_i - x_1 + \eta\hbar| - \eta) \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \times \\ \times \mathbf{R}_{-,in}^{\mathbf{p}}(x_i - x_n| - \eta) \dots \mathbf{R}_{-,i,i+1}^{\mathbf{p}}(x_i - x_{i+1}| - \eta) \left| \Phi_-^{\mathbf{p}}(x| - \eta, -\hbar) \right\rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Using the symmetry (4.34) this could be rewritten in the form:

$$e^{\eta\hbar\partial_{x_i}} Q \left| \Phi_-^{\mathbf{p}}(x| - \eta, -\hbar) \right\rangle = \mathbf{R}_{+,i,i-1}^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x_i - x_{i-1} + \eta\hbar|\eta) \dots \mathbf{R}_{+,i,1}^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x_i - x_1 + \eta\hbar|\eta) \mathbf{g}^{(i)} \times \\ \times \mathbf{R}_{+,in}^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x_i - x_n|\eta) \dots \mathbf{R}_{+,i,i+1}^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x_i - x_{i+1}|\eta) Q \left| \Phi_-^{\mathbf{p}}(x| - \eta, -\hbar) \right\rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From here it could be inherited that the desired solution $|\Phi_+^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x|\eta, \hbar)\rangle$ is the following:

$$\left| \Phi_+^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x|\eta, \hbar) \right\rangle = Q \left| \Phi_-^{\mathbf{p}}(x| - \eta, -\hbar) \right\rangle \quad (4.40)$$

■

Now we are ready to prove the main statement.

Consider the space of all wavefunctions $\Psi_-(x|\eta, \hbar)$ of the Ruijsenaars Hamiltonian with signs of η and \hbar changed:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\sinh(x_i - x_j - \eta)}{\sinh(x_i - x_j)} \right) e^{\eta\hbar\partial_{x_i}} \Psi_-(x|\eta, \hbar) = \left(\sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a \frac{\sinh(\eta M_a)}{\sinh \eta} \right) \Psi_-(x|\eta, \hbar), \quad (4.41)$$

which could be obtained with our construction, i.e. have the form:

$$\Psi_-(x|\eta, \hbar) = \left\langle \Omega_{q-}^{\mathbf{p}} \left| \Phi_-^{\mathbf{p}}(x|\eta, \hbar) \right. \right\rangle \quad (4.42)$$

For any such $\Psi_-(x|\eta, \hbar)$ the function $\Psi_+(x|\eta, \hbar) = \Psi_-(x| - \eta, -\hbar)$ is automatically the the wavefunction:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\sinh(x_i - x_j + \eta)}{\sinh(x_i - x_j)} \right) e^{\eta\hbar\partial_{x_i}} \Psi_+(x|\eta, \hbar) = \left(\sum_{a=1}^{N+M} g_a \frac{\sinh(\eta M_a)}{\sinh \eta} \right) \Psi_+(x|\eta, \hbar), \quad (4.43)$$

We are going to prove the following

Proposition 4.3 *For any wavefunction of the form (4.42) the corresponding $\Psi_+(x|\eta, \hbar) = \Psi_-(x| - \eta, -\hbar)$ could also be obtained from the qq-duality construction, i.e. has the form:*

$$\Psi_+(x|\eta, \hbar) = \left\langle \Omega_q^{\mathbf{p}+1} \left| \Phi_+^{\mathbf{p}+1}(x|\eta, \hbar) \right. \right\rangle \quad (4.44)$$

The proof follows from the previous proposition with $|\Phi_+^{p+1}(x|\eta, \hbar)\rangle$ defined as in (4.40) and the remark (4.39).

This proposition actually means that for any wavefunction, constructed with the help of the q -antisymmetric vector, the existence of the corresponding solution of the q KZ equation (i.e. the existence of the qq -duality for it) is a simple consequence of the existence of such solution for the the wavefunction with signs of η and \hbar changed, constructed with the help of the q -symmetric vector.

5 Conclusion

In this thesis the quantum-quantum duality between the system of qKZ-equations and Ruijsenaars-Schneider model was generalized to the case of the structural supergroup of the former one. The expressions for the integrable system Hamiltonian's eigenvalues were obtained. They appeared to coincide with those, obtained from the non-supersymmetric case, in agreement with the prediction, made in the quantum-classical version of this duality. One of the possible directions for future work is to construct this correspondence for qKZ-equations, associated with more involved algebras.

6 Appendix

Here we give a short summary of notations and definitions related to Lie superalgebra $gl(N|M)$.

Let \mathfrak{B} be any one of the subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, N + M\}$ with $\text{Card}(\mathfrak{B}) = N$, and \mathfrak{F} is the complement set $\mathfrak{F} = \{1, 2, \dots, N + M\} \setminus \mathfrak{B}$. The vector space $\mathbb{C}^{N|M}$ is endowed with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. The grading parameter is defined as

$$\mathfrak{p}(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & a \in \mathfrak{B} \quad (\text{bosons}), \\ 1, & a \in \mathfrak{F} \quad (\text{fermions}). \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The Lie superalgebra $gl(N|M)$ is defined by the following relations:

$$\mathbf{e}_{ab}\mathbf{e}_{cd} - (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{e}_{ab})\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{e}_{cd})}\mathbf{e}_{cd}\mathbf{e}_{ab} = \delta_{bc}\mathbf{e}_{ad} - (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{e}_{ab})\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{e}_{cd})}\delta_{ad}\mathbf{e}_{cb}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where

$$\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{e}_{ab}) = \mathfrak{p}(a) + \mathfrak{p}(b) \pmod{2}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In the fundamental representation the set of generators $\{\mathbf{e}_{ab}\}$ forms the standard basis in matrices $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N|M})$: $(\mathbf{e}_{ab})_{ij} = \delta_{ia}\delta_{jb}$, so that for the orthonormal basis vectors \mathbf{e}_a , $a = 1, \dots, N + M$ in $\mathbb{C}^{N|M}$ (i.e. $(\mathbf{e}_a)_k = \delta_{ak}$) we have

$$\mathbf{e}_{ab}\mathbf{e}_c = \delta_{bc}\mathbf{e}_a. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

For any homogeneous (with distinct grading) operators $\{\mathbf{A}_i \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N|M})\}_{i=1}^4$ and homogeneous vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{N|M}$:

$$(\mathbf{A}_1 \otimes \mathbf{A}_2)(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y}) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{A}_2)\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{y}) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and

$$(\mathbf{A}_1 \otimes \mathbf{A}_2)(\mathbf{A}_3 \otimes \mathbf{A}_4) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{A}_2)\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{A}_3)}(\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_3 \otimes \mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{A}_4). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The graded permutation operator $\mathbf{P}_{12} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N|M} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N|M})$ is of the form:

$$\mathbf{P}_{12} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{M+N} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(b)}\mathbf{e}_{ab} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{ba}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Due to (A.5) it permutes any pair of homogeneous vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} by the rule

$$\mathbf{P}_{12}\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y} = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{x})\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{y})}\mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{x}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

In particular,

$$\mathbf{P}_{12}\mathbf{e}_a \otimes \mathbf{e}_a = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)}\mathbf{e}_a \otimes \mathbf{e}_a. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The supertrace and the superdeterminant of $\mathcal{M} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{N|M})$ are given by

$$\text{str } \mathcal{M} = \sum_{a=1}^{N+M} (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)}\mathcal{M}_{aa} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

and

$$\text{sdet } \mathcal{M} = \exp(\text{str } \log \mathcal{M}). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

For an operator $\mathcal{M}^{(i)}$ acting by \mathcal{M} on the i -th component of $(\mathbb{C}^{N|M})^{\otimes n}$ we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{ij}\mathcal{M}^{(j)} = \mathcal{M}^{(i)}\mathbf{P}_{ij}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\text{str}_0(\mathbf{P}_{0i}\mathcal{M}^{(0)}) = \mathcal{M}^{(i)}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

References

- [1] Grekov, A., A. Zabrodin, and A. Zotov. "Supersymmetric extension of qKZ-Ruijsenaars correspondence." *Nuclear Physics B* 939 (2019): 174-190.
- [2] A. Alexandrov, V. Kazakov, S. Leurent, Z. Tsuboi, A. Zabrodin, *JHEP* 09 (2013) 064; arXiv:1112.3310.
- [3] M. Bektov, A. Liashyk, A. Zabrodin, A. Zotov, *Nucl. Phys. B*, B903 (2016) 150-163; arXiv:1510.07509.
- [4] V.V Bazhanov, A.G. Shadrnikov, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.*, 73:3 (1987) 1302-1312.
S. Belliard, E. Ragoucy, *J. Phys. A* 41 (2008) 295202, arXiv:0804.2822.
- [5] F. Calogero, *J. Math. Phys.* 12 (1971) 419–436.
B. Sutherland, *Physical Review A*, 4:5 (1971) 2019–2021.
B. Sutherland, *Physical Review A*, 5:3 (1972) 1372–1376.
- [6] D. Gaiotto, P. Koroteev, *JHEP* 05 (2013) 126; arXiv:1304.0779.
P. Koroteev, A.M. Zeitlin, arXiv:1802.04463.
- [7] M. Gaudin, *J. de Phys.* 37:10 (1976) 1087–1098.
- [8] A. Gorsky, A. Zabrodin, A. Zotov, *JHEP* 01 (2014) 070; arXiv:1310.6958.
- [9] V. Knizhnik, A. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys.* B247 (1984) 83–103.
- [10] P. Kulish, E. Sklyanin, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI* 95 (1980) 129–160; Engl. transl.: *J. Soviet Math.* 19 (1982) 1956.
P. Kulish, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI* 145 (1985), 140–163.
J. H. H. Perk, C. L. Schultz, *Phys. Lett. A* 84 (1981) 407–410.
- [11] G. Felder, A. Veselov, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 160 (1994) 259–273.
A. Veselov, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.*, 98:3 (1994) 368-376.
- [12] I. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 146 (1992) 1–60.
- [13] A. Matsuo, *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 110 (1992) 95–121.
I. Cherednik, *Advances in Mathematics*, 106 (1994) 65–95.
- [14] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *SIGMA* 8 (2012) 072 (11 pages), arXiv:1201.3990.
- [15] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, H. Schneider, *Ann. Phys.* 146 (1986) 1–34.
S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 110 (1987) 191–213.
- [16] V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *St.Petersburg Math. J.* 6 (1994) 275–313, arXiv:hep-th/9311040.
V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)* 174 (1996) 235–273, arXiv:hep-th/9406060.
- [17] Z. Tsuboi, A. Zabrodin, A. Zotov, *JHEP* 05 (2015) 086; arXiv:1412.2586.
- [18] C.N. Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19 (1967) 1312–1315.
- [19] A. Zabrodin, A. Zotov, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 50 (2017) 205202; arXiv:1701.06074.
- [20] A. Zabrodin, A. Zotov, *Nucl. Phys. B* 922 (2017) 113–125; arXiv:1704.04527.